

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,341	10/31/2001	Varda Treibach-Heck	Call-Tell MP 5260	
759	90 09/18/2006	•	EXAM	INER
Varda Treibach-Heck			SHEIKH, ASFAND M	
Reportee 843 Lakeshore Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Redwood City, CA 94065-1738			3627	"
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/003,341	TREIBACH-HECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Asfand M. Sheikh	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	av 2006					
	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 7-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
are subject to restriction units	cicolion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
i apei ivo(a)/iviaii Date	3) [_] Oliel					

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

In responsive to the Remarks/Arguments received on 30-May-2006; claims 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are pending in the present application.

Claims 2 and 7-18 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 3 have been amended.

PLEASE NOTE: That the Examiner examining the pending application has changed. The new Examiner is Asfand M. Sheikh, the Group Art Unit has not changed.

In light of the amendments of the independent claim, new grounds of rejection have been made.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 12 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Spurgeon United States

Patent 6,088,677. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

و المراجع المر

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spurgeon United States Patent 6,088,677 in view of Tran United States Patent 5,991,742.

As per claim 1, Spurgeon discloses automatically, that is, independent of any required human intervention: i) receiving over a transmission channel an electronic representation of an image of a physical form that embodies a report concerning at least one parameter from at least one sender, the form having a plurality of data fields each corresponding to an indicator of at least a partial value of at least one of the parameters; ii) identifying the location of the data fields in the received representation of the image of the form; and iii) both extracting report data from the report and storing the extracted report data in a predetermined common format in a memory for

, 12 -

🐲 in the Same of the Same of

Art Unit: 3627

subsequent processing (col. 6, lines 26-30 and line 67 and col., 7 lines 20-25 and lines 32-36; FIG. 5 and FIG. 9; Examiner interprets (based on Applicants Specification page 27, paragraph 26) the cited figures represent an electronic representation of an image of a physical form that embodies a report. Further the Examiner interprets the cited figures contain a plurality of data fields which are extracted and stored in a common format); for at least one third party, storing a set of party-specific rules in the memory (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 66-67; and col. 8, lines 1-3; Examiner interprets "client also uses this application as a subscribing device to order customized information" to be party-specific rules. Further the Examiner interprets "provider" and/or "insurer" to be a third party); associating the received report with the corresponding third party (col. 7, lines 66-67 and col. 8, lines 1-3); and associating at least one fourth party with the sender and with at least on of the third parties (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 66-67; and col. 8, lines 1-3; Further the Examiner interprets "provider" and/or "insurer" to be a third party); in which the third party is an agency that communicates with the central computer system using an agency computer system (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 52-54 and lines 66-67; and col. 8, lines 1-3); the fourth party is a client of the agency and

Art Unit: 3627

communicates with the central computer system using a client computer system, the agency and client being contractually related entities (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 52-54 and lines 66-67; and col. 8, lines 1-3), the sender is a person referred by the agency to the client and performs work tasks for the client (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 52-54; and lines 66-67; and col. 8, lines 1-3); and further comprising via a publicly accessible transmission network, receiving access requests from the agency and the client sent through their respective computer systems for portions of the extracted report data defined according to the respective party-specific rules, and responding to the access requests by returning the requested report data to the agency and client, respectively, including automatically, that is independent of any required human intervention, completing any requests for interactive and iterative review, modification, or annotation, inclusive of the report data by the agency and client according to each party's respective rules; whereby the central computer system is an intermediary system between the sender on the one hand and the agency and client on the other hand and exposes different interface to the sender, agency and client (col. 6, lines 36-30; col. 7, lines 30-25; col. 8, lines 52-67; and col. 9, lines 30-36 and lines 40-45), converting the extracted report data into a 表示,是一个人,我们们是一个人,我们们是不够有一个人,不是一个人,一个人,我们们也不是一个人,我们也不是一个人,我们也不是一个人,我们也不是一个人,我们也不是 第一个人,我们是一个人,我们们是我们的,我们们就是一个人,我们们就是一个人,我们们就是一个人,我们们就是我们的,我们就是我们的人,我们们就是我们的人,我们们就会

Art Unit: 3627

format specified by the rules associated with that third party, whereby third parties operating different hardware platforms and processing software may receive and process extracted report data from the same memory within the central computer system (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 20-36; and col. 9, lines 40-45); transferring a copy of the extracted report data to the third party via the network, whereby the transferred data resided at and is available for processing by the third party (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 20-36; and col. 9, lines 40-45);

Spurgeon fails to explicitly disclose the at least one parameter includes time worked by the sender on behalf of the client.

However Tran discloses parameter includes time worked by the sender on behalf of the client (col. 3, lines 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Spurgeon to include parameter includes time worked by the sender on behalf of the client as taught by Tran. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to provide accurate work related charges, with less errors due to incorrect data entry and/or misreading of a users hand writing (col. 3, lines 15-17).

Art Unit: 3627

As per claim 3, Spurgeon discloses storing the extracted report data in the memory in a predetermined common format; before transferring the extracted report data to any third party, converting the extracted data into a format specified by the rules associated with that party, whereby their parties operating different hardware platforms and processing software may receive and process the extracted report data form the same memory within the central computer system (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 20-36; and col. 9, lines 40-45).

As per claim 4, Spurgeon fails to explicitly disclose further comprising the step of storing in the memory third-party annotations associated with the extracted report data.

However Tran discloses further comprising the step of storing in the memory third-party annotations associated with the extracted report data (col. 18, lines 15-16).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Spurgeon to include further comprising the step of storing in the memory third-party annotations associated with the extracted report data as taught by Tran. The motivation to combine is the same as claim 1, above.

Art Unit: 3627

As per claim 5, Spurgeon disclose receiving from a third party, a confirmation or rejection indication relating to at least a portion of the extracted, stored report data, and upon receipt of the rejection indication, directing the sender to resubmit a corrected report (col. 10, lines 29-47; Examiner interprets "initiation of payment" to be a confirmation if the data is correct).

As per claim 6, Spurgeon discloses storing an image of the report as received; via the network, allowing access by the third party to the image, whereby the third party is able to confirm the accuracy of the extracted report data (col. 6, lines 34-58; col. 7, lines 20-36; and col. 9, lines 15-29 and lines 40-45; FIG. 5 and FIG. 9; Examiner interprets (based on Applicants Specification page 27, paragraph 26) the sited figures are electronic representations of the forms and are stored accordingly as electronic representation of the data in the database and are viewed accordingly when extracted).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,341 Page 9

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asfand M. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1466. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8a-4:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,341 Page 10

1. 1986年 19

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call (800) 786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

Asfand M Sheikh

Examiner

Art Unit 3627

ams

14-Sep-06

ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER